



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/660,785

09/13/2000

Benjamin E. Hansen

1692

7918

20350

7590

06/19/2006

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP  
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER  
EIGHTH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

ESCALANTE, OVIDIO

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/660,785	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HANSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ovidio Escalante	<b>Art Unit</b> 2614	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 March 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 2614

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on March 2, 2006. **Claims 1-4,6-14,16-25** are now pending in the present application.

#### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 2, 2006 has been entered.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 2614

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-4,6-8,10-14,16-18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norris et al. US Patent 5,805,587 in view of Combar et al. US patent 6,515,968.

***Regarding claim 1***, Norris teaches a method of managing a telephone call from a calling station (S2) to a called station (S1, DT1) having a telephone service, where the called station is connected to a data network (fig. 1; abstract) comprising the steps of:

forwarding the called station telephone service to an application server, (IAS 200), (col. 5, lines 48-58);

responsive to a telephone call from a calling station, forwarding the telephone call to the application server (col. 5, lines 48-58);

at the application server, obtaining from an Internet Access Server (205), an IP address relating to the called station (fig. 2), wherein the Internet Access Server is a different server from the application server, (col. 6, line 51-col. 7, line 12);

sending a screen viewable query to the called station via the data network requesting disposition of said telephone call, wherein the query includes a list of call disposition options for said telephone call, (col. 8, lines 20-48), and wherein one of said list of call disposition options includes sending said telephone call to a voicemail system, (col. 8, lines 6-19);

receiving a decision on the disposition of said telephone call from the called stations,  
(col. 8, lines 6-19);

performing an action according to the decision, wherein said action includes sending said telephone call to a voicemail system, (col. 8, lines 6-19).

Norris does not specifically teaches logging information related to the telephone call and the decision on the disposition of the telephone call, wherein the information is viewable via the data network.

In the same field of endeavor, Combar teach of a method for providing a subscriber with Internet call management disposition options and logging information related to the telephone call and the decision on the disposition of the telephone call, wherein the information is viewable via the data network, (col. 3, line 64-col. 4, line 19; col. 20, lines 26-52; col. 25, line 58-col. 26, line 3; col. 40, line 24-col. 41, line 38-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Norris by logging information related to the disposition of the call and allowing the user to view the information as taught by Combar so that the user can be able to see real-time disposition information about calls that were made to their number.

***Regarding claim 2***, Norris, as applied to claim 1, teaches wherein said screen viewable query includes an option of answering said telephone call over the data network, (col. 7, lines 4-49).

***Regarding claim 3***, Norris, as applied to claim 3, teaches wherein said screen viewable query includes an option of answering said telephone call using a public switched network, (col. 8, lines 31-41).

Art Unit: 2614

**Regarding claim 4**, Norris, as applied to claim 1, teaches wherein said screen viewable query includes an option of ignoring said telephone call, (col. 6, lines 34-36).

**Regarding claim 10**, Norris, as applied to claim 1, teaches wherein said screen viewable query includes an option of hanging up said telephone call, (col. 6, lines 34-36).

**Regarding claim 11**, Norris teaches a method of managing a telephone call from a calling station (S2) to a called station (S1, DT1) having a telephone service, where the called station is capable of connection to the Internet (fig. 1; abstract) comprising the steps of:

forwarding the called station telephone service to an intermediate server upon said called station launching an Internet connection, (col. 5, lines 48-58);

responsive to a telephone call from a calling station received by said intermediate server, obtaining from an Internet Access Server, an IP address relating to the called station (col. 5, lines 48-58), wherein the Internet Access Server is a different server from the intermediate server, (col. 6, line 51-col. 7, line 12);

sending a screen viewable communication to the called station including available calling station identification information and a query to the called station via the Internet requesting a decision from a list of call disposition options for said telephone call, wherein said query includes an option of sending said telephone call to a voicemail system, (col. 8, lines 6-48);

receiving a decision from the called station choosing at least one call disposition option, (col. 8, lines 6-19); and

performing an action according to the call disposition option, (col. 8, lines 1-19).

Art Unit: 2614

Norris does not specifically teaches logging information related to the telephone call and the decision choosing at least one call disposition option, wherein the information is viewable via the data network.

In the same field of endeavor, Combar teaches of a method for providing a subscriber with Internet call management disposition options and logging information related to the telephone call and the at least one call disposition option, wherein the information is viewable via the data network, (col. 3, line 64-col. 4, line 19; col. 20, lines 26-52; col. 25, line 58-col. 26, line 3; col. 40, line 24-col. 41, line 38-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Norris by logging information related to the disposition of the call and allowing the user to view the information as taught by Combar so that the user can be able to see real-time disposition information about calls that were made to their number.

**Regarding claim 12**, Norris, as applied to claim 11, wherein one of said list of call disposition options includes answering said telephone call over the Internet and said step of performing an action includes answering said telephone call over the Internet, (col. 7, lines 4-49).

**Regarding claim 13**, Norris, as applied to claim 11, teaches wherein one of said list of call disposition options includes answering said telephone call using a public switched network and said step of performing an action includes answering said telephone call using a public switched network, (col. 7, lines 4-49; col. 8, lines 31-41).

**Regarding claim 14**, Norris, as applied to claim 11, teaches wherein one of said list of call dispositions options includes ignoring said telephone call, (col. 6, lines 34-36).

Art Unit: 2614

**Regarding claim 20**, Norris, as applied to claim 11, teaches wherein said list of call disposition options includes hanging up said telephone call and said step of performing an action includes hanging up said telephone call, (col. 6, lines 34-36).

**Regarding claim 21**, Norris, as applied to claim 11, teaches wherein said list of call disposition options includes adding the available calling station identification information to a database and said step of performing an action includes adding the available calling station identification information to a database, (col. 8, lines 6-19).

**Regarding claim 22**, Norris, as applied to claim 11, teaches wherein said list of call disposition options includes displaying information stored about the calling station and said step of performing an action includes displaying information stored about the calling station, (col. 8, lines 6-19).

7. Claims 6-8 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norris in view of Combar and further in view of Adams US Patent Pub. 2004/0240651.

**Regarding claims 6-8 and 16-18**, Norris does not specifically teach wherein said screen viewable query includes an option of forwarding said telephone call to a different number, playing an announcement to the calling station and placing the call on hold.

In the same field of endeavor, Adams teaches wherein said query includes an option of forwarding said telephone call to a different telephone number, (paragraph 0055); wherein said screen viewable query includes an option of playing an announcement to the calling station, (paragraphs 0055 and 0057); and wherein said screen viewable query includes an option of placing the calling station on hold, (paragraphs 0057 and 0091).



Art Unit: 2614

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Norris and Combar by adding the options of forwarding said call to a different telephone number, playing an announcement and placing the calling station on hold as taught by Adams so that the called party can be provided with more options to send the calling party if the called party is currently on the line with another caller.

8. Claims 9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norris in view of Combar et al. US patent 6,515,968 and further in view of Norris US Patent 6,653,611, (hereinafter Norris '611).

***Regarding claims 9 and 19***, Norris does not specifically teach of adding the calling station to a conference call bridge.

In the same field of endeavor, Norris '611 teaches wherein said screen viewable query includes an option of adding the calling station to a conference call bridge, (col. 8, lines 51-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Norris in view of Combar to add the feature of connecting via the data network and adding an option of conferencing as taught by Norris '611 so that the user can talk with the incoming caller without losing their Internet connection and so that the user can connect with a third party if they are already on the line with a first party.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims **1-4,6-14,16-22** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### ***Conclusion***

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2614

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7537, (for informal or draft communications, please label  
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ovidio Escalante whose telephone number is 571-272-7537. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 6:30AM to 4:00PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan S. Tsang can be reached on 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/660,785

Page 10

Art Unit: 2614

system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**OVIDIO ESCALANTE**  
**PATENT EXAMINER**

Ovidio Escalante  
Primary Patent Examiner  
Group 2645  
June 2, 2006

*Ovidio Escalante*

O.E./oe